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For the last 50 years immune deficiency disorders were thought to be rare occurring in one out of thousands of infants. Indeed in the last 10 years with the development of new genetic techniques more than 100 mutations in various genes were found to be associated with primary immunodeficiencies entities. It should be noted that in some diseases several hundreds of patients were found, while in others only few children were discovered, thus applying that we are dealing with rare situations.

The principal clinical manifestation of PID is increased susceptibility to infections. The pattern of organ systems affected and the characteristic pathogens vary with the type of immune defect. Several years ago the ten warning signs of PID were developed and they include: recurrent infections, 2 or more serious or opportunistic infection in 1 year, several episodes of pneumonia, failure to thrive in infants, recurrent with infections, persistent thrush, and a family history of PID. In these cases one should evaluate the immune system. Several simple tests such as CBC and Immunoglobulins levels although will not give a final diagnosis, still they will pick more than 80% of the so called classical PID. It should be noted that if the medical history is very suggestive of a defect in the immune system much more tests can be performed to arrive to the diagnosis and ensure the right treatment.

Recently, a new concept has emerged suggesting that defects in the immune system are very common and not rare. Although humoral and cellular immune function can be normal, subtle defects and defects in the innate immune system are common and can account to the many cases of severe infectious episodes in whom the current laboratory findings are normal. Today, new insights regarding the definitions, classifications and ways to investigate immune deficiency have emerged. This current concept has been proposed in several recent papers, led mainly by Jean-Laurent Casanova from Paris (1-3).

The role of the immune system is to fight back any infection. If an individual does not survive an infectious episode he is by definition “Immunodeficient”! Infectious diseases have been the leading cause of death throughout history in most parts of the world. Life expectancy in the industrial countries has risen, due to medical progress in three main areas: the development of the hygiene concept as from the mid 19th century (preventing transmission), the invention of
vaccine at the beginning of the 20th century and the production of anti infectious
drugs. Thus, the ability of the human individual to defeat the infectious agent is
not due to an ameliorated immune system in the last two hundreds years but to
improved medical care. Higher life expectancy is not attributed to natural
selection of high-quality immune system genes. Rather, the persistent defects in
our immune functions have been masked by medical progress (4).

Today, it is widely recognized, that the immune response to various infections
agents involves a complex interplay between environmental and human (genetic
and non-genetic) factors. Every winter infants are hospitalized in need of oxygen
or assisted ventilation for bronchiolitis due to RSV, yet the majority of those
infected with the same virus have little more than a runny nose. A large
epidemiological study on the genetics of infections showed that individuals
adopted in childhood display a markedly increased risk of death from infection
if a biological parent had died prematurely of infection. Previously, faced with a
patient, so-called immunocompetant, with a life threatening infection, we used
to talk of “bad luck”. But not anymore, with the comprehension that genetic and
environmental factor contributed to the severe clinical symptoms in this specific
individual. Natural immunity ensures the survival of the species, rather than that
of every individual. It was shown that a single nucleotide polymorphism in
caspase 12 is associated with reduced cytokines production and increases
susceptibility for developing sepsis (5).

Although, in most cases, mutations are linked to defective immune response to
infections, in some cases they may advantageous in the encounter with specific
pathogens. One excellent example is the fact that mutations in CC-chemokine
receptor 5 (CCR5) provide resistance to HIV-1 infection. CCR5 was found to be
a crucial molecule for HIV binding to CD4 T cells and thus mutations in CCR5
prevent HIV entry into the T cells. Resistance to malaria, in individuals with a
mutation in the Duffy antigen promoter, provides another example. Plasmodium
vivax invades human erythrocytes by binding to the Duffy antigen. Individuals
with the mutation do not express Duffy on their erythrocyte surface, thus
denying parasite binding (6).

Until now our attention was focused mainly in those rare cases with typical
clinical symptoms and a marked abnormal immune function. The so-called
conventional primary immunodeficiencies are classified mainly by their
immunological phenotype: defect in T cell, B cell, combined, neutrophils or
complement. More than 100 different genes causing immunodeficiency have
been identified and more are expected to be uncovered in the near future. It
should be mentioned that different clinical syndromes might be caused by
different mutations in the same gene. Mutations in the WASP gene can cause
Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, X-linked thrombocytopenia and very rarely X-
linked congenital neutropenia (7). The classical classification of PID, which
took into account only the immunological findings and the mode of genetic
inheritance, poses several problems. For example asymptomatic IgA deficient
individuals are “immunodeficient”, while patients dying of infectious diseases without immunological abnormality measured by current technology, are defined “immuno-competent”.

The well known fact, that even with the same mutation, the severity of the disease varies from patient to patient, further complicates the picture. To address this question Foster et al (8) studied 129 patients with Chronic Granulomatous Disease (CGD) and different clinical symptoms. They looked at several host genetic factors, other than NADPH oxidase, that might influence the clinical outcome. They found that subtle changes (polymorphisms) in genes involved in the immune function, which may have little or no effect in the general population, could assume greater significance in individuals with defects in the host defense system, such as CGD. It should be stressed that these polymorphisms act upon one or more pathways not disrupted by the primary defect.

Non-conventional PIDs include several cases in which a genetic predisposition to a specific type of infection was found. The best example for such a PID is the “Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial diseases” where patients have mutations in the genes involved in the IL-12/\(\gamma\)-INF pathway (1). Many life-threatening infectious diseases might indeed result from the Mendelian inheritance of a specific mutation. A number of common infectious diseases are likely to reflect non-conventional PID. Recently, it was found that several patients with herpes simplex encephalitis had defects in the TLR pathway. It should be noted that although this pathway is thought to be crucial, no other infections were observed in these patients, and later on in life they are healthy (9).

Even more intricate is the common condition where infants suffer from recurrent infections during the first two years of life mainly after entering kinder-garden. The increased frequency of these benign fever attacks, in a subset of children, with a completely normal immune function, reflects a combination of polymorphisms in many genes, which together invoke a more pronounced clinical picture, in face of common viral agents.

The criteria for normalcy, in a system, are based on the immunologic phenotype, related to population distribution of laboratory data, collected for various immune function tests. It is clear that we still have incomplete knowledge regarding how the organism as a whole, including the immune system, protects itself from infection. The importance of the innate immune system has been recognized only recently and thus new classifications, which will take into account additional factors, other than the classical known adaptive immune components, are now considered.

In summary, although the human specie survived for more than 250,000 years and is even expanding and thus is overall immunocompetent, it is most unlikely that there has ever been a truly immunocompetent individual who was resistant to all pathogens.
The concept, that immunodeficiency is a rare condition, should be changed and as Casanova and Abel wrote “Inborn errors of immunity are – unfortunately but inevitably – the rule rather than the exception” (2). Much more basic and clinical research should be carried out to understand the exact relationships between the various infections agents and the human body in order to devise novel new therapeutic tools in our continuous fight against microorganisms.
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